



THE UK CORPORATE GOVERNANCE CODE

An Annotated Version for Mutual Insurers

September 2016

© Copyright 2016

Published with permission of the Financial Reporting Council.

The text and the annotations in this document may be reproduced free of charge in any format or medium providing that it is reproduced accurately and is not used in a misleading context. The material must be acknowledged in the correct way.

Association of Financial Mutuals (AFM)

7 Castle Hill, Caistor, Lincolnshire LN7 6QL

tele: 01472 852800

www.financialmutuals.org

AFM Introduction

1. This document is a copy of the April 2016 version of the UK Corporate Governance Code, ('the Code') to which annotations have been added. The annotations, as with this Introduction, are underlined. The text of the Code itself has not been amended from the original, produced by the Financial Reporting Council (FRC).
2. The guidance in this document, which comprises this Introduction and the annotations, is intended to assist mutual insurers and friendly societies in having 'regard' to the Code. The annotations follow a 'by exception' approach, in that they are given only for those elements of the Code that either raise particular issues for mutual insurers or are not considered to be relevant to mutual insurers. All parts of the Code are regarded as being appropriate in their present form unless this Introduction and/ or annotations indicate otherwise, with direct references to 'listed companies' being read as mutual insurers and friendly societies. The annotations are not intended to alter the principles of the Code but rather to promote interpretations that should best uphold these principles. Code provisions that are not annotated should not be regarded as any less important than those that are.
3. The Code follows a 'comply or explain' approach. An important element for listed proprietary companies adhering to the Code is the disclosure statement required by paragraph 9.8.6 of the Listing Rules (which is detailed in Schedule B to the Code). Mutual insurers and friendly societies should also produce a disclosure statement observing the 'comply or explain' principle in accordance with this approach. Schedule B to the Code also contains FCA Disclosure and Transparency Rules ('DTR's) sub-chapters 7.1 and 7.2 ('Sub-chapters') from the FCA Handbook. There is substantial overlap between the content of the Code and these sub-chapters, which is summarised in the Appendix to Schedule B. Hence compliance with the Code will mean that a mutual insurer or friendly society would comply with most of the DTR's in Schedule B. However DTR 7.2.5 R (which related to internal control and risk management systems) and DTR 7.2.6 R (information about share capital) are not covered within the Code and consequently AFM members should also consider these in relation to disclosure of their own corporate governance arrangements.
4. Although the 'comply or explain' disclosure statement plays an important part in ensuring that a mutual insurer or friendly society is accountable to its members on governance matters, it may not alone be sufficient. It may be appropriate, for example, to discuss governance arrangements directly with member representatives as part of the dialogue discussed in the annotations to section E.1 of the Code.
5. The Code makes several references to 'shareholders', as well as major, principal and significant shareholders. Although mutual insurers and friendly societies do not have shareholders, the principles underpinning these provisions of the Code are relevant and should be considered in

- relation to members and should be considered in relation to appropriate methods for facilitating direct member dialogue and involvement that may be in place (such as member forums or panels and/or delegate systems) and/ or any members with significant membership rights.
6. Mutuals' policies on facilitating member dialogue and involvement should be clearly articulated to members in the Annual Report and Accounts, and on the company website.
 7. Certain provisions within the Code may use terminology that is not used by some, or all, mutual insurers and friendly societies. Where there is a difference in terminology, mutuals should consider the provisions of the Code in relation to the most appropriate equivalent. For example, where the Code refers to the 'Board', this should be taken to mean the governing body of the undertaking, whatsoever this might be called. Similarly, 'directors' should be read as the members of the governing body of the undertaking. 'Articles of Association' should be read as the relevant constitutional document, which may be Articles or Rules. 'Company' should be read as society as appropriate.
 8. Mutual insurers and friendly societies should anticipate that the UK regulators might wish to discuss compliance and their explanations for departures from the provisions of the annotated Code in the context of a risk assessment or other supervisory work.
 9. It is intended that the annotated Code should apply to all the forms of mutual undertaking engaged in insurance business in the UK, including *non-directive* friendly societies and mutual insurers (where a *non-directive mutual insurer* is one that falls below the threshold for compliance with Solvency 2; this means they have: gross premium income in regulated products over the preceding three financial years of less than €5 million per annum; or gross technical provisions of less than €25 million). While non-directives will tend to operate under greater cost constraints than larger firms, the governance principles of the Code, such as independence, transparency, and the separation of function are applicable to all. The flexibility inherent in the 'comply or explain' approach should enable any small firm to achieve a manner of adherence appropriate to its circumstances, taking into account its size, legal form, and any relevant constitutional documents such as the Rules of the society or the Articles of Association of the company.
 10. This Introduction and the annotations to the Code are updated in conjunction with the periodic reviews by FRC, and following annotations by AFM.
 11. The UK Corporate Governance Code is reproduced with the kind permission of the FRC.

Changes in this version

Section of the Code	Change made	Impact on AFM members
Preface	Altered to reflect the latest version of the Code	Members should consider and incorporate changes
Provision C.3.1	New wording has been added: "The audit committee as a whole shall have competence relevant to the sector in which the company operate"	This requirement was introduced via the Audit Directive and means members should verify the Audit Committee has experience of the insurance sector, and consider describing this in the report and accounts.
Principle C.3.7	Removes words: "FTSE350 companies should put the external audit contract out to tender at least every ten years."	Whilst this sentence is removed from the Code, the requirement remains in place via the Audit Directive.
Provision C.3.8	New wording added to bullet point on information to include in the report and accounts on a re-tendering: "advance notice of any retendering plans"	Audit Committees should set out in the report and accounts any future plans to re-tender audit
Schedule B	Amended to take account of changes to FCA's DTRs and Listing Rules relating to the Audit Regulations	Amended for the Audit Regulation and Directive and to reflect FCA requirement for reporting on viability.

For a comparison of the changes to the 2012 version of FRC's Code, see:

[https://www.frc.org.uk/Our-Work/Publications/Corporate-Governance/Developments-in-Corporate-Governance-and-Stewa-\(1\).pdf](https://www.frc.org.uk/Our-Work/Publications/Corporate-Governance/Developments-in-Corporate-Governance-and-Stewa-(1).pdf)

FRC has indicated that its priorities at present are to help organisations embed the Code requirements more effectively, rather than introduce new standards; the next scheduled changes to the Code are due in 2019.

Governance and the Code

1. The purpose of corporate governance is to facilitate effective, entrepreneurial and prudent management that can deliver the long-term success of the company.

Mutuals are expected to maintain high standards of governance in the best interests of their members. This annotated version of the Code has been published by the Association of Financial Mutuals to support mutual insurer and friendly societies in meeting these high standards of governance and to promote confidence in governance and reporting within the mutual sector.

2. The first version of the UK Code on Corporate Governance (the Code) was produced in 1992 by the Cadbury Committee. Its paragraph 2.5 is still the classic definition of the context of the Code:

“Corporate governance is the system by which companies are directed and controlled. Boards of directors are responsible for the governance of their companies. The shareholders’ role in governance is to appoint the directors and the auditors and to satisfy themselves that an appropriate governance structure is in place. The responsibilities of the board include setting the company’s strategic aims, providing the leadership to put them into effect, supervising the management of the business and reporting to shareholders on their stewardship. The board’s actions are subject to laws, regulations and the shareholders in general meeting.”

In regard to ‘shareholders’ role in governance’ the above is relevant both in the manner that boards of mutuals facilitate the engagement with members in order for members to be able to ‘satisfy themselves that an appropriate governance structure is in place’, and in the manner that mutuals act in their role as institutional investors in their own right.

3. Corporate governance is therefore about what the board of a company does and how it sets the values of the company. It is to be distinguished from the day-to-day operational management of the company by full-time executives.
4. The Code is a guide to a number of key components of effective board practice. It is based on the underlying principles of all good governance: accountability, transparency, probity and focus on the sustainable success of an entity over the longer term.
5. The Code has been enduring, but it is not immutable. Its fitness for purpose in a permanently changing economic and social business environment requires its evaluation at appropriate intervals.
6. The new Code applies to accounting periods beginning on or after 17 June 2016 and applies to all companies with a Premium listing of equity shares regardless of whether they are incorporated in the UK or elsewhere.

For mutual insurers and friendly societies, this annotated code will apply for reporting years beginning on or after 1 November 2016.

Preface

1. Over two decades of constructive usage of the Code have contributed to improved corporate governance in the UK. The Code is part of a framework of legislation, regulation and best practice standards which aims to deliver high quality corporate governance with in-built flexibility for companies to adapt their practices to take into account their particular circumstances. Similarly, investors must take the opportunity to consider carefully how companies have decided to implement the Code. There is always scope for improvement, both in terms of making sure that the Code remains relevant and improving the quality of reporting.
2. Boards must continue to think comprehensively about their overall tasks and the implications of these for the roles of their individual members. Absolutely key in these endeavours are the leadership of the chairman of a board, the support given to and by the CEO, and the frankness and openness of mind with which issues are discussed and tackled by all directors.
3. Essential to the effective functioning of any board is dialogue which is both constructive and challenging. The problems arising from “groupthink” have been exposed in particular as a result of the financial crisis. One of the ways in which constructive debate can be encouraged is through having sufficient diversity on the board. This includes, but is not limited to, gender and race. Diverse board composition in these respects is not on its own a guarantee. Diversity is as much about differences of approach and experience, and it is very important in ensuring effective engagement with key stakeholders and in order to deliver the business strategy.
4. One of the key roles for the board includes establishing the culture, values and ethics of the company. It is important that the board sets the correct ‘tone from the top’. The directors should lead by example and ensure that good standards of behaviour permeate throughout all levels of the organisation. This will help prevent misconduct, unethical practices and support the delivery of long-term success.
5. This update of the Code has been driven by the consequential changes required from the implementation of the European Union’s Audit Regulation and Directive. Section C.3 on Audit Committees was reviewed to ensure it remained consistent and changes have only been made when necessary. It is important that companies view these changes alongside the revised Guidance on Audit Committees.
6. Following the 2014 Code amendments, which focussed on the provision by companies of information about the risks which affect longer term viability, the FRC will continue to monitor compliance with these changes. Companies should be presenting information to give a clearer and broader view of solvency, liquidity, risk management and viability.

For their part, investors should assess these statements thoroughly and engage accordingly.

7. To run a corporate board successfully should not be underrated. Constraints on time and knowledge combine with the need to maintain mutual respect and openness between a cast of strong, able and busy directors dealing with each other across the different demands of executive and non-executive roles. To achieve good governance requires continuing and high quality effort.
8. Chairmen are encouraged to report personally in their annual statements how the principles relating to the role and effectiveness of the board (in Sections A and B of the Code) have been applied. Not only will this give investors a clearer picture of the steps taken by boards to operate effectively but also, by providing fuller context, it may make investors more willing to accept explanations when a company chooses to explain rather than to comply with one or more provisions.
9. While in law the company is primarily accountable to its shareholders, and the relationship between the company and its shareholders is also the main focus of the Code, companies are encouraged to recognise the contribution made by other providers of capital and to confirm the board's interest in listening to the views of such providers insofar as these are relevant to the company's overall approach to governance.

For mutual insurers where the Code refers to 'FTSE 350 companies', the annotated Code treats all members above the threshold for Solvency 2 as being in the scope of relevant organisations.

Financial Reporting Council
April 2016

Comply or Explain

1. The “comply or explain” approach is the trademark of corporate governance in the UK. It has been in operation since the Code’s beginnings and is the foundation of the Code’s flexibility. It is strongly supported by both companies and shareholders and has been widely admired and imitated internationally.

Mutuals should also be minded as to how they use the flexibility of the ‘comply or explain’ principle of the Code, adhering to each provision of the annotated Code unless their individual circumstances mean that they cannot do so, or that it is demonstrably in the best interests of their members for them not to do so.

2. The Code is not a rigid set of rules. It consists of principles (main and supporting) and provisions. The Listing Rules require companies to apply the Main Principles and report to shareholders on how they have done so. The principles are the core of the Code and the way in which they are applied should be the central question for a board as it determines how it is to operate according to the Code.

Although the ‘comply or explain’ disclosure statement plays an important part in ensuring that a mutual is accountable to its members on governance matters, it may not alone be sufficient. It may be appropriate, for example, to discuss governance arrangements directly with member representatives as part of the dialogue discussed in the annotations to section E.1 of the Code.

3. It is recognised that an alternative to following a provision may be justified in particular circumstances if good governance can be achieved by other means. A condition of doing so is that the reasons for it should be explained clearly and carefully to shareholders¹, who may wish to discuss the position with the company and whose voting intentions may be influenced as a result. In providing an explanation, the company should aim to illustrate how its actual practices are consistent with the principle to which the particular provision relates, contribute to good governance and promote delivery of business objectives. It should set out the background, provide a clear rationale for the action it is taking, and describe any mitigating actions taken to address any additional risk and maintain conformity with the relevant principle. Where deviation from a particular provision is intended to be limited in time, the explanation should indicate when the company expects to conform with the provision.
4. In their responses to explanations, shareholders should pay due regard to companies’ individual circumstances and bear in mind in particular the size and complexity of the company and the nature of the risks and challenges it faces. Whilst shareholders have every right to challenge companies’ explanations if they are unconvincing, they should not be

¹ References to shareholders also apply to intermediaries and agents employed to assist shareholders in scrutinising governance arrangements

evaluated in a mechanistic way and departures from the Code should not be automatically treated as breaches. Shareholders should be careful to respond to the statements from companies in a manner that supports the “comply or explain” process and bearing in mind the purpose of good corporate governance. They should put their views to the company and both parties should be prepared to discuss the position.

Mutual insurer and friendly societies may have governance and voting structures different to those used by listed companies. Mutuals should regularly review the appropriateness of such structures in regard to the Code principles and provisions as, in themselves, the existence of such structures should not be used as a reason for failing to comply with any Code provision or principle.

5. Smaller listed companies, in particular those new to listing, may judge that some of the provisions are disproportionate or less relevant in their case. Some of the provisions do not apply to companies below the FTSE 350. Such companies may nonetheless consider that it would be appropriate to adopt the approach in the Code and they are encouraged to do so. Externally managed investment companies typically have a different board structure which may affect the relevance of particular provisions; the Association of Investment Companies’ Corporate Governance Code and Guide can assist them in meeting their obligations under the Code.

For mutual insurer and friendly societies ‘companies below the FTSE 350’ should be read as *non-directive mutual insurers* as defined in the AFM introduction, paragraph 9. Non-directive mutuals should consider current best practice guidelines produced by the AFM on corporate governance in their interpretation and application of the annotated Code.

6. Satisfactory engagement between company boards and investors is crucial to the health of the UK’s corporate governance regime. Companies and shareholders both have responsibility for ensuring that “comply or explain” remains an effective alternative to a rules-based system. There are practical and administrative obstacles to improved interaction between boards and shareholders. But certainly there is also scope for an increase in trust which could generate a virtuous upward spiral in attitudes to the Code and in its constructive use.

Mutual insurers have the responsibility for engaging effectively with their members to ensure that a mutual's ‘comply or explain’ approach remains an effective alternative to a rules-based system.

The Main Principles of the Code

Section A: Leadership

Every company should be headed by an effective board which is collectively responsible for the long-term success of the company.

There should be a clear division of responsibilities at the head of the company between the running of the board and the executive responsibility for the running of the company's business. No one individual should have unfettered powers of decision.

The chairman is responsible for leadership of the board and ensuring its effectiveness on all aspects of its role.

As part of their role as members of a unitary board, non-executive directors should constructively challenge and help develop proposals on strategy.

Section B: Effectiveness

The board and its committees should have the appropriate balance of skills, experience, independence and knowledge of the company to enable them to discharge their respective duties and responsibilities effectively.

There should be a formal, rigorous and transparent procedure for the appointment of new directors to the board.

All directors should be able to allocate sufficient time to the company to discharge their responsibilities effectively.

All directors should receive induction on joining the board and should regularly update and refresh their skills and knowledge.

The board should be supplied in a timely manner with information in a form and of a quality appropriate to enable it to discharge its duties.

The board should undertake a formal and rigorous annual evaluation of its own performance and that of its committees and individual directors.

All directors should be submitted for re-election at regular intervals, subject to continued satisfactory performance.

Section C: Accountability

The board should present a fair, balanced and understandable assessment of the company's position and prospects.

The board is responsible for determining the nature and extent of the significant risks it is willing to take in achieving its strategic objectives. The board should maintain sound risk management and internal control systems.

The board should establish formal and transparent arrangements for considering how they should apply the corporate reporting, risk management and internal control principles and for maintaining an appropriate relationship with the company's auditors.

Section D: Remuneration

Executive directors' remuneration should be designed to promote the long-term success of the company. Performance-related elements should be transparent, stretching and rigorously applied.

There should be a formal and transparent procedure for developing policy on executive remuneration and for fixing the remuneration packages of individual directors. No director should be involved in deciding his or her own remuneration.

Section E: Relations with Shareholders (Members)

There should be a dialogue with shareholders based on the mutual understanding of objectives. The board as a whole has responsibility for ensuring that a satisfactory dialogue with shareholders takes place.

The board as a whole for mutuals has the responsibility for ensuring that a satisfactory dialogue with members takes place.

The board should use general meetings to communicate with investors and to encourage their participation.

Section A: Leadership

A.1 The Role of the Board

Main Principle

Every company should be headed by an effective board which is collectively responsible for the long-term success of the company.

Supporting Principles

The board's role is to provide entrepreneurial leadership of the company within a framework of prudent and effective controls which enables risk to be assessed and managed. The board should set the company's strategic aims, ensure that the necessary financial and human resources are in place for the company to meet its objectives and review management performance. The board should set the company's values and standards and ensure that its obligations to its shareholders and others are understood and met.

All directors must act in what they consider to be the best interests of the company, consistent with their statutory duties².

Code Provisions

- A.1.1. The board should meet sufficiently regularly to discharge its duties effectively. There should be a formal schedule of matters specifically reserved for its decision. The annual report should include a statement of how the board operates, including a high level statement of which types of decisions are to be taken by the board and which are to be delegated to management.
- A.1.2. The annual report should identify the chairman, the deputy chairman (where there is one), the chief executive, the senior independent director and the chairmen and members of the board committees³. It should also set out the number of meetings of the board and its committees and individual attendance by directors.
- A.1.3. The company should arrange appropriate insurance cover in respect of legal action against its directors.

² For directors of UK incorporated companies, these duties are set out in the Sections 170 to 177 of the Companies Act 2006

³ Provisions A.1.1 and A.1.2 overlap with FCA Rule DTR 7.2.7 R; Provision A.1.2 also overlaps with DTR7.1.5 R (see Schedule B).

A.2 Division of Responsibilities

Main Principle

There should be a clear division of responsibilities at the head of the company between the running of the board and the executive responsibility for the running of the company's business. No one individual should have unfettered powers of decision.

Code Provision

A.2.1. The roles of chairman and chief executive should not be exercised by the same individual. The division of responsibilities between the chairman and chief executive should be clearly established, set out in writing and agreed by the board.

A.3 The Chairman

Main Principle

The chairman is responsible for leadership of the board and ensuring its effectiveness on all aspects of its role.

Supporting Principle

The chairman is responsible for setting the board's agenda and ensuring that adequate time is available for discussion of all agenda items, in particular strategic issues. The chairman should also promote a culture of openness and debate by facilitating the effective contribution of non-executive directors in particular and ensuring constructive relations between executive and non-executive directors.

The chairman is responsible for ensuring that the directors receive accurate, timely and clear information. The chairman should ensure effective communication with shareholders.

Code Provision

A.3.1. The chairman should on appointment meet the independence criteria set out in B.1.1 below. A chief executive should not go on to be chairman of the same company. If, exceptionally, a board decides that a chief executive should become chairman, the board should consult major shareholders in advance and should set out its reasons

to shareholders at the time of the appointment and in the next annual report⁴.

In regard to “major shareholders” mutual insurers should consider this provision in relation to appropriate methods for facilitating direct member dialogue and involvement that may be in place (such as member forums or panels and/ or delegate systems) and/ or any members with significant membership rights.

A.4 Non-executive Directors

Main Principle

As part of their role as members of a unitary board, non-executive directors should constructively challenge and help develop proposals on strategy.

Supporting Principle

Non-executive directors should scrutinise the performance of management in meeting agreed goals and objectives and monitor the reporting of performance. They should satisfy themselves on the integrity of financial information and that financial controls and systems of risk management are robust and defensible. They are responsible for determining appropriate levels of remuneration of executive directors and have a prime role in appointing and, where necessary, removing executive directors, and in succession planning.

Code Provisions

- A.4.1. The board should appoint one of the independent non-executive directors to be the senior independent director to provide a sounding board for the chairman and to serve as an intermediary for the other directors when necessary. The senior independent director should be available to shareholders if they have concerns which contact through the normal channels of chairman, chief executive or other executive directors has failed to resolve or for which such contact is inappropriate.

Mutual insurers that do not appoint a senior independent director should consider whether it is necessary to offer members an alternative mechanism for the handling of their concerns (i.e. to replicate the arrangements envisaged in Section A.4.1) that acknowledge that members may not always wish to contact the chairman or an executive director.

Non-Directive mutual insurers may elect not to apply this provision and to exclude it from their ‘comply or explain’ reporting.

⁴ Compliance or otherwise with this provision need only be reported for the year in which the appointment is made.

A.4.2. The chairman should hold meetings with the non-executive directors without the executives present. Led by the senior independent director, the non-executive directors should meet without the chairman present at least annually to appraise the chairman's performance and on such other occasions as are deemed appropriate.

Non-Directive mutual insurers may elect not to apply this provision and to exclude it from their 'comply or explain' reporting.

A.4.3. Where directors have concerns which cannot be resolved about the running of the company or a proposed action, they should ensure that their concerns are recorded in the board minutes. On resignation, a non-executive director should provide a written statement to the chairman, for circulation to the board, if they have any such concerns.

Section B: Effectiveness

B.1 The Composition of the Board

Main Principle

The board and its committees should have the appropriate balance of skills, experience, independence and knowledge of the company to enable them to discharge their respective duties and responsibilities effectively.

Where mutual insurers have significant numbers of members with common interests, through geographic location, occupation or otherwise, this might also be considered in determining the appropriate balance for the board and its committees.

Supporting Principles

The board should be of sufficient size that the requirements of the business can be met and that changes to the board's composition and that of its committees can be managed without undue disruption, and should not be so large as to be unwieldy.

The board should include an appropriate combination of executive and non-executive directors (and, in particular, independent non-executive directors) such that no individual or small group of individuals can dominate the board's decision taking.

The value of ensuring that committee membership is refreshed and that undue reliance is not placed on particular individuals should be taken into account in deciding chairmanship and membership of committees.

No one other than the committee chairman and members is entitled to be present at a meeting of the nomination, audit or remuneration committee, but others may attend at the invitation of the committee.

Code Provisions

B.1.1. The board should identify in the annual report each non-executive director it considers to be independent⁵. The board should determine

⁵ A.3.1 states that the chairman should, on appointment, meet the independence criteria set out in this provision, but thereafter the test of independence is not appropriate in relation to the chairman.

whether the director is independent in character and judgment and whether there are relationships or circumstances which are likely to affect, or could appear to affect, the director's judgment. The board should state its reasons if it determines that a director is independent notwithstanding the existence of relationships or circumstances which may appear relevant to its determination, including if the director:

- has been an employee of the company or group within the last five years;
- has, or has had within the last three years, a material business relationship with the company either directly, or as a partner, shareholder, director or senior employee of a body that has such a relationship with the company;
- has received or receives additional remuneration from the company apart from a director's fee, participates in the company's share option or a performance-related pay scheme, or is a member of the company's pension scheme;
- has close family ties with any of the company's advisers, directors or senior employees;
- holds cross-directorships or has significant links with other directors through involvement in other companies or bodies;
- represents a significant shareholder; or
- has served on the board for more than nine years from the date of their first election.

B.1.2. Except for smaller companies⁶, at least half the board, excluding the chairman, should comprise non-executive directors determined by the board to be independent. A smaller company should have at least two independent non-executive directors.

B.2 Appointments to the Board

Main Principle

There should be a formal, rigorous and transparent procedure for the appointment of new directors to the board.

Supporting Principles

The search for board candidates should be conducted, and appointments made, on merit, against objective criteria and with due regard for the benefits of diversity on the board, including gender.

⁶ A smaller company is one that is below the FTSE 350 throughout the year immediately prior to the reporting year.

The board should satisfy itself that plans are in place for orderly succession for appointments to the board and to senior management, so as to maintain an appropriate balance of skills and experience within the company and on the board and to ensure progressive refreshing of the board.

Code Provisions

- B.2.1. There should be a nomination committee which should lead the process for board appointments and make recommendations to the board. A majority of members of the nomination committee should be independent non-executive directors. The chairman or an independent non-executive director should chair the committee, but the chairman should not chair the nomination committee when it is dealing with the appointment of a successor to the chairmanship. The nomination committee should make available its terms of reference, explaining its role and the authority delegated to it by the board⁷.
- B.2.2. The nomination committee should evaluate the balance of skills, experience, independence and knowledge on the board and, in the light of this evaluation, prepare a description of the role and capabilities required for a particular appointment.
- B.2.3. Non-executive directors should be appointed for specified terms subject to re-election and to statutory provisions relating to the removal of a director. Any term beyond six years for a non-executive director should be subject to particularly rigorous review, and should take into account the need for progressive refreshing of the board.
- B.2.4. A separate section of the annual report should describe the work of the nomination committee⁸, including the process it has used in relation to board appointments. This section should include a description of the board's policy on diversity, including gender, any measurable objectives that it has set for implementing the policy, and progress on achieving the objectives. An explanation should be given if neither an external search consultancy nor open advertising has been used in the appointment of a chairman or a non-executive director. Where an external search consultancy has been used, it should be identified in the annual report and a statement made as to whether it has any other connection with the company.

In mutual insurers, the recruitment process should involve appropriate sources of objective external opinion. When seeking to appoint independent directors, as well as considering the use of external recruitment consultants to lead the

⁷ The requirement to make the information available would be met by including the information on a website that is maintained by or on behalf of the company.

⁸ This provision overlaps with FCA Rule DTR 7.2.7 R (see Schedule B).

process, the nomination committee may wish to seek other outside views, for example by utilising methods for facilitating direct member dialogue and involvement that may be in place (such as member forums or panels and/ or delegate systems). The advertising of posts should also be considered as a way of reducing the reliance on the personal connections of existing board members.

B.3 Commitment

Main Principle

All directors should be able to allocate sufficient time to the company to discharge their responsibilities effectively.

Code Provisions

- B.3.1. For the appointment of a chairman, the nomination committee should prepare a job specification, including an assessment of the time commitment expected, recognising the need for availability in the event of crises. A chairman's other significant commitments should be disclosed to the board before appointment and included in the annual report. Changes to such commitments should be reported to the board as they arise, and their impact explained in the next annual report.
- B.3.2. The terms and conditions of appointment of non-executive directors should be made available for inspection⁹. The letter of appointment should set out the expected time commitment. Non-executive directors should undertake that they will have sufficient time to meet what is expected of them. Their other significant commitments should be disclosed to the board before appointment, with a broad indication of the time involved and the board should be informed of subsequent changes.
- B.3.3. The board should not agree to a full time executive director taking on more than one non-executive directorship in a FTSE 100 company nor the chairmanship of such a company.

For a mutual which is of equivalent size to a FTSE 100 company, no full-time executive director should be appointed to more than one non-executive directorship, or the chairmanship, of either a FTSE 100 company or another company of equivalent size to a FTSE 100 company.

⁹ The terms and conditions of appointment of non-executive directors should be made available for inspection by any person at the company's registered office during normal business hours and at the AGM (for 15 minutes prior to the meeting and during the meeting).

B.4 Development

Main Principle

All directors should receive induction on joining the board and should regularly update and refresh their skills and knowledge.

Supporting Principles

The chairman should ensure that the directors continually update their skills and the knowledge and familiarity with the company required to fulfil their role both on the board and on board committees. The company should provide the necessary resources for developing and updating its directors' knowledge and capabilities.

To function effectively, all directors need appropriate knowledge of the company and access to its operations and staff.

Code Provisions

- B.4.1. The chairman should ensure that new directors receive a full, formal and tailored induction on joining the board. As part of this, directors should avail themselves of opportunities to meet major shareholders.

In regard to “major shareholders” mutual insurers should consider this provision in relation to how directors can use any appropriate methods for facilitating direct member dialogue and involvement that may be in place (such as member forums or panels and/ or delegate systems) and/ or meet with any members with significant membership rights.

- B.4.2. The chairman should regularly review and agree with each director their training and development needs.

B.5 Information and Support

Main Principle

The board should be supplied in a timely manner with information in a form and of a quality appropriate to enable it to discharge its duties.

Supporting Principles

The chairman is responsible for ensuring that the directors receive accurate, timely and clear information. Management has an obligation to provide such information but directors should seek clarification or amplification where necessary.

Under the direction of the chairman, the company secretary's responsibilities include ensuring good information flows within the board and its committees and between senior management and non-executive directors, as well as facilitating induction and assisting with professional development as required.

The company secretary should be responsible for advising the board through the chairman on all governance matters.

The secretary of a mutual insurer or friendly society should endeavour to fulfil an equivalent role. The roles of secretary and chief executive should ideally be split. If the same person holds both positions, the responsibility of ensuring good information flows to the board and its committees, and between senior management and non-executive directors, should be delegated to a different individual reporting to the chairman.

Code Provisions

- B.5.1. The board should ensure that directors, especially non-executive directors, have access to independent professional advice at the company's expense where they judge it necessary to discharge their responsibilities as directors. Committees should be provided with sufficient resources to undertake their duties.

Boards should establish clear procedures through which non-executives can obtain advice from independent external advisers at the company's expense when required. The availability of independent sources of advice should be made clear at the time of appointment. The Higgs best-practice guidance contains a draft non-executive's letter of appointment, in which a commitment to allow access to external advice is made. Non-executives need not seek to appoint a relevant adviser for each and every subject area that comes before the board. When difficult issues arise, the first course of action should always be to encourage further and deeper analysis to be carried out within the firm. But it is important that any non-executive can access advice at the firm's expense from a source that is independent of the executive when there is an important issue on which he or she does not feel comfortable despite having sought clarification and amplification from within the firm.

- B.5.2. All directors should have access to the advice and services of the company secretary, who is responsible to the board for ensuring that board procedures are complied with. Both the appointment and removal of the company secretary should be a matter for the board as a whole.

B.6 Evaluation

Main Principle

The board should undertake a formal and rigorous annual evaluation of its own performance and that of its committees and individual directors.

Supporting Principles

The chairman should act on the results of the performance evaluation by recognising the strengths and addressing the weaknesses of the board and, where appropriate, proposing new members be appointed to the board or seeking the resignation of directors.

Individual evaluation should aim to show whether each director continues to contribute effectively and to demonstrate commitment to the role (including commitment of time for board and committee meetings and any other duties).

Evaluation of the board should consider the balance of skills, experience, independence and knowledge of the company on the board, its diversity, including gender, how the board works together as a unit, and other factors relevant to its effectiveness.

Code Provisions

- B.6.1. The board should state in the annual report how performance evaluation of the board, its committees and its individual directors has been conducted.
- B.6.2. Evaluation of the board of FTSE 350 companies should be externally facilitated at least every three years. The external facilitator should be identified in the annual report and a statement should be made as to whether they have any other connection with the company.

For mutual insurer and friendly societies 'companies below the FTSE 350' should be read as *non-directive mutual insurers* as defined in the AFM introduction, paragraph 9. Non-Directive mutual insurers may elect not to apply this provision and to exclude it from their 'comply or explain' reporting.

- B.6.3. The non-executive directors, led by the senior independent director, should be responsible for performance evaluation of the chairman, taking into account the views of executive directors.

The appraisal of the chairman should be led by an independent director if a senior independent director has not been appointed.

B.7 Re-election

Main Principle

All directors should be submitted for re-election at regular intervals, subject to continued satisfactory performance.

Code Provisions

- B.7.1. All directors of FTSE 350 companies should be subject to annual election by shareholders. All other directors should be subject to election by shareholders at the first annual general meeting after their appointment, and to re-election thereafter at intervals of no more than three years. Non-executive directors who have served longer than nine years should be subject to annual re-election. The names of directors submitted for election or re-election should be accompanied by sufficient biographical details and any other relevant information to enable shareholders to take an informed decision on their election.

For mutual insurer and friendly societies 'companies below the FTSE 350' should be read as *non-directive mutual insurers* as defined in the AFM introduction, paragraph 9. Non-Directive mutual insurers may elect not to apply this provision and to exclude it from their 'comply or explain' reporting.

- B.7.2. The board should set out to shareholders in the papers accompanying a resolution to elect a non-executive director why they believe an individual should be elected. The chairman should confirm to shareholders when proposing re-election that, following formal performance evaluation, the individual's performance continues to be effective and to demonstrate commitment to the role.

Section C: Accountability

C.1 Financial And Business Reporting

Main Principle

The board should present a fair, balanced and understandable assessment of the company's position and prospects.

Supporting Principle

The board's responsibility to present a fair, balanced and understandable assessment extends to interim and other price-sensitive public reports and reports to regulators as well as to information required to be presented by statutory requirements.

The board should establish arrangements that will enable it to ensure that the information presented is fair, balanced and understandable.

Whilst the issue regarding price-sensitive public reports will not typically be relevant to mutual insurers the board should still have responsibility for presenting a balanced and understandable assessment of the mutual's position and prospects to members, regulators and in information required to be presented by statutory requirements.

Code Provisions

- C.1.1. The directors should explain in the annual report their responsibility for preparing the annual report and accounts, and state that they consider the annual report and accounts, taken as a whole, is fair, balanced and understandable and provides the information necessary for shareholders to assess the company's position and performance, business model and strategy. There should be a statement by the auditor about their reporting responsibilities¹⁰.
- C.1.2. The directors should include in the annual report an explanation of the basis on which the company generates or preserves value over the longer term (the business model) and the strategy for delivering the objectives of the company¹¹.

¹⁰ The requirement may be met by the disclosures about the audit scope and the responsibilities of the auditor included, or referred to, in the auditor's report pursuant to the requirements in paragraph 16 of ISA (UK and Ireland) 700, "The Auditor's Report on Financial Statements". Copies are available at: <http://www.frc.org.uk/apb/publications/pub2102.html>.

¹¹ Section 414C(8) (a) and (b) of the Companies Act 2006 requires a description of a company's business model and strategy as part of the Strategic Report that forms part of the annual report. Guidance as to the matters that should be considered in an explanation of the business model and strategy is provided in the FRC's "Guidance on the Strategic Report". Copies are available from the FRC website.

Directors of mutual Insurers should include in the annual report an explanation of the basis on which the mutual generates or preserves value for its members over the longer term.

- C.1.3. In annual and half-yearly financial statements, the directors should state whether they considered it appropriate to adopt the going concern basis of accounting in preparing them, and identify any material uncertainties to the company's ability to continue to do so over a period of at least twelve months from the date of approval of the financial statements¹².

Mutual Insurers should consider the benefits of producing half-yearly financial statements if they do not already do so.

C.2 Risk Management and Internal Control

Main Principle

The board is responsible for determining the nature and extent of the principal risks it is willing to take in achieving its strategic objectives. The board should maintain sound risk management and internal control systems.

Code Provision

- C.2.1. The directors should confirm in the annual report that they have carried out a robust assessment of the principal risks facing the company, including those that would threaten its business model, future performance, solvency or liquidity. The directors should describe those risks and explain how they are being managed or mitigated.
- C.2.2. Taking account of the company's current position and principal risks, the directors should explain in the annual report how they have assessed the prospects of the company, over what period they have done so and why they consider that period to be appropriate. The directors should state whether they have a reasonable expectation that the company will be able to continue in operation and meet its liabilities as they fall due over the period of their assessment, drawing attention to any qualifications or assumptions as necessary¹³.
- C.2.3. The board should monitor the company's risk management and internal control systems and, at least annually, carry out a review of

¹² This provision overlaps with FCA Rules LR 9.8.6 R (3) (see Schedule B). Additional information relating to C.1.3 and C.2 can be found in "Guidance on Risk Management, Internal Control and Related Financial and Business Reporting". Copies are available from the FRC website.

¹³ This provision overlaps with FCA Rules LR 9.8.6 (3) R (see Schedule B).

their effectiveness, and report on that review in the annual report¹⁴. The monitoring and review should cover all material controls, including financial, operational and compliance controls.

C.3 Audit Committee and Auditors¹⁵

Main Principle

The board should establish formal and transparent arrangements for considering how they should apply the corporate reporting and risk management and internal control principles and for maintaining an appropriate relationship with the company’s auditor.

Code Provisions

- C.3.1. The board should establish an audit committee of at least three, or in the case of smaller companies¹⁶ two, independent non-executive directors. In smaller companies the company chairman may be a member of, but not chair, the committee in addition to the independent non-executive directors, provided he or she was considered independent on appointment as chairman. The board should satisfy itself that at least one member of the audit committee has recent and relevant financial experience. The audit committee as a whole shall have competence relevant to the sector in which the company operates¹⁷.
- C.3.2. The main role and responsibilities of the audit committee should be set out in written terms of reference¹⁸ and should include:
- to monitor the integrity of the financial statements of the company and any formal announcements relating to the company’s financial performance, reviewing significant financial reporting judgments contained in them;
 - to review the company’s internal financial controls and, unless expressly addressed by a separate board risk committee composed of independent directors, or by the board itself, to review the company’s internal control and risk management systems;
 - to monitor and review the effectiveness of the company’s internal audit function;
 - to make recommendations to the board, for it to put to the shareholders for their approval in general meeting, in relation to

¹⁴ In addition FCA Rule DTR 7.2.5 R requires companies to describe the main features of the internal control and risk management systems in relation to the financial reporting process.

¹⁵ “Guidance on Audit Committees” suggests means of applying this part of the Code. Copies are available on the FRC website.

¹⁶ See footnote 6.

¹⁷ This provision overlaps with FCA Rule DTR 7.1.1 R (see Schedule B).

¹⁸ This provision overlaps with FCA Rule DTR 7.1.3 R (see Schedule B).

the appointment, re-appointment and removal of the external auditor and to approve the remuneration and terms of engagement of the external auditor;

- to review and monitor the external auditor's independence and objectivity and the effectiveness of the audit process, taking into consideration relevant UK professional and regulatory requirements;
- to develop and implement policy on the engagement of the external auditor to supply non-audit services, taking into account relevant ethical guidance regarding the provision of non-audit services by the external audit firm, and to report to the board, identifying any matters in respect of which it considers that action or improvement is needed and making recommendations as to the steps to be taken; and
- to report to the board on how it has discharged its responsibilities.

C.3.3. The terms of reference of the audit committee, including its role and the authority delegated to it by the board, should be made available¹⁹.

C.3.4. Where requested by the board, the audit committee should provide advice on whether the annual report and accounts, taken as a whole, is fair, balanced and understandable and provides the information necessary for shareholders to assess the company's position and performance, business model and strategy.

C.3.5. The audit committee should review arrangements by which staff of the company may, in confidence, raise concerns about possible improprieties in matters of financial reporting or other matters. The audit committee's objective should be to ensure that arrangements are in place for the proportionate and independent investigation of such matters and for appropriate follow-up action.

C.3.6. The audit committee should monitor and review the effectiveness of the internal audit activities. Where there is no internal audit function, the audit committee should consider annually whether there is a need for an internal audit function and make a recommendation to the board, and the reasons for the absence of such a function should be explained in the relevant section of the annual report.

C.3.7. The audit committee should have primary responsibility for making a recommendation on the appointment, reappointment and removal of the external auditors²⁰. If the board does not accept the audit committee's recommendation, it should include in the annual report,

¹⁹ See footnote 7.

²⁰ This overlaps with Part 3 of the Statutory Audit Services for Large Companies Market Investigation (Mandatory Use of Competitive Tender Processes and Audit Committee Responsibilities) Order 2014 and the requirements of Chapter 2 of Part 16 of the Companies Act 2006 as inserted by the Statutory Auditors and Third Country Auditors Regulations 2016 on the appointment of auditors to public companies that are Public Interest Entities.

and in any papers recommending appointment or re-appointment, a statement from the audit committee explaining the recommendation and should set out reasons why the board has taken a different position.

C.3.8. A separate section of the annual report should describe the work of the committee in discharging its responsibilities²¹. The report should include:

- the significant issues that the committee considered in relation to the financial statements, and how these issues were addressed;
- an explanation of how it has assessed the effectiveness of the external audit process and the approach taken to the appointment or reappointment of the external auditor, information on the length of tenure of the current audit firm, when a tender was last conducted and advance notice of any retendering plans²²; and
- if the external auditor provides non-audit services, an explanation of how auditor objectivity and independence is safeguarded.

²¹ This provision overlaps with FCA Rules DTR 7.1.5 R and 7.2.7 (see Schedule B).

²² This overlaps with Part 4 of the Statutory Audit Services for Large Companies Market Investigation (Mandatory Use of Competitive Tender Process and Audit Committee Responsibilities) Order 2014.

Section D: Remuneration

D.1 The Level and Components of Remuneration

Main Principle

Executive directors' remuneration should be designed to promote the long-term success of the company. Performance-related elements should be transparent, stretching and rigorously applied.

Although the Large and Medium Sized Companies and Groups (Accounts and Reports) (Amendments) Regulations 2013 applies only to quoted firms, mutual insurers should produce a remuneration report equivalent to that described in Schedule 8 of the regulations and hold an advisory vote on the report at the AGM †. Mutual insurers should draw on current best practice guidelines produced by the AFM on the remuneration report.

Supporting Principle

The remuneration committee should judge where to position their company relative to other companies. But they should use such comparisons with caution in view of the risk of an upward ratchet of remuneration levels with no corresponding improvement in corporate and individual performance, and should avoid paying more than is necessary.

They should also be sensitive to pay and employment conditions elsewhere in the group, especially when determining annual salary increases.

†Those sections of Schedule 8 relating to share options will not be relevant to mutual insurers (unless directors of mutual insurers are involved in ownership schemes involving subsidiaries). These are Section 3 sub-paragraph (2)(a)(i), all of Section 5 (relating to the Performance Graph), Sections 8, 9 and 10 (the treatment of Share Options in the information subject to audit) and Section 12 sub paragraphs (2) and (3). (http://www.opsi.gov.uk/si/si2008/draft/ukdsi_9780110806303_en_1)

Code Provisions

- D.1.1. In designing schemes of performance-related remuneration for executive directors, the remuneration committee should follow the provisions in Schedule A to this Code. Schemes should include provisions that would enable the company to recover sums paid or withhold the payment of any sum, and specify the circumstances in which it would be appropriate to do so.

Non-Directive mutual insurers may elect not to apply this provision and to exclude it from their 'comply or explain' reporting.

- D.1.2. Where a company releases an executive director to serve as a non-executive director elsewhere, the remuneration report²³ should include a statement as to whether or not the director will retain such earnings and, if so, what the remuneration is.
- D.1.3. Levels of remuneration for non-executive directors should reflect the time commitment and responsibilities of the role. Remuneration for non-executive directors should not include share options or other performance-related elements. If, exceptionally, options are granted, shareholder approval should be sought in advance and any shares acquired by exercise of the options should be held until at least one year after the non-executive director leaves the board. Holding of share options could be relevant to the determination of a non-executive director's independence (as set out in provision B.1.1).

Although the Listing Rules apply only to quoted firms, mutual insurers should consider following the appropriate provisions of the Listing Rules in relation to the above. Note, share options may be relevant to mutual insurers, in the above provision, if executive directors of mutual insurers are involved in ownership schemes involving subsidiaries.

- D.1.4. The remuneration committee should carefully consider what compensation commitments (including pension contributions and all other elements) their directors' terms of appointment would entail in the event of early termination. The aim should be to avoid rewarding poor performance. They should take a robust line on reducing compensation to reflect departing directors' obligations to mitigate loss.
- D.1.5. Notice or contract periods should be set at one year or less. If it is necessary to offer longer notice or contract periods to new directors recruited from outside, such periods should reduce to one year or less after the initial period.

D.2 Procedure

Main Principle

There should be a formal and transparent procedure for developing policy on executive remuneration and for fixing the remuneration packages of individual directors. No director should be involved in deciding his or her own remuneration.

²³ As required for UK incorporated companies under the Large and Medium -Sized Companies and Groups (Accounts and Reports) Regulations 2013.

Supporting Principles

The remuneration committee should take care to recognise and manage conflicts of interest when receiving views from executive directors or senior management, or consulting the chief executive about its proposals. The remuneration committee should also be responsible for appointing any consultants in respect of executive director remuneration.

The chairman of the board should ensure that the committee chairman maintains contact as required with its principal shareholders about remuneration.

In regard to 'principal shareholders' mutual insurers should consider this supporting principle in relation to appropriate methods for facilitating direct member dialogue and involvement that may be in place (such as member forums or panels and/or delegate systems) and/or any members with significant membership rights.

Code Provisions

- D.2.1. The board should establish a remuneration committee of at least three, or in the case of smaller companies²⁴ two, independent non-executive directors. In addition the company chairman may also be a member of, but not chair, the committee if he or she was considered independent on appointment as chairman. The remuneration committee should make available its terms of reference, explaining its role and the authority delegated to it by the board²⁵. Where remuneration consultants are appointed, they should be identified in the annual report and a statement made as to whether they have any other connection with the company.
- D.2.2. The remuneration committee should have delegated responsibility for setting remuneration for all executive directors and the chairman, including pension rights and any compensation payments. The committee should also recommend and monitor the level and structure of remuneration for senior management. The definition of 'senior management' for this purpose should be determined by the board but should normally include the first layer of management below board level.
- D.2.3. The board itself or, where required by the Articles of Association, the shareholders should determine the remuneration of the non-executive directors within the limits set in the Articles of Association. Where permitted by the Articles, the board may however delegate this responsibility to a committee, which might include the chief executive.

²⁴ See footnote 6.

²⁵ This provision overlaps with FCA Rule DTR 7.2.7 R (see Schedule B).

'Articles of Association' should be read as the relevant constitutional document, which may be Articles or Rules.

- D.2.4. Shareholders should be invited specifically to approve all new long-term incentive schemes (as defined in the Listing Rules²⁶) and significant changes to existing schemes, save in the circumstances permitted by the Listing Rules.

The Listing Rules only apply to quoted companies. Mutual insurers should consider the above in relation to share option schemes if executive directors of mutual insurers are involved in ownership schemes involving subsidiaries. Other significant long-term incentive schemes should be detailed as part of the directors' remuneration report, which is subject to an advisory vote at the AGM.

²⁶ Listing Rules LR 9.4. Copies are available from the FCA website.

Section E: Relations with Shareholders (Members)

AFM members should consider this section in relation to 'Relations with Members'.

E.1 Dialogue with Shareholders

Main Principle

There should be a dialogue with shareholders based on the mutual understanding of objectives. The board as a whole has responsibility for ensuring that a satisfactory dialogue with shareholders takes place²⁷.

Supporting Principles

Whilst recognising that most shareholder contact is with the chief executive and finance director, the chairman should ensure that all directors are made aware of their major shareholders' issues and concerns.

In regard to "major shareholders" mutual insurers should consider this principle in relation to feedback from any appropriate methods for facilitating direct member dialogue and involvement that may be in place (such as member forums or panels and/ or delegate systems) and/or any members with significant membership rights.

The board should keep in touch with shareholder opinion in whatever ways are most practical and efficient.

Code Provisions

- E.1.1. The chairman should ensure that the views of shareholders are communicated to the board as a whole. The chairman should discuss governance and strategy with major shareholders. Non-executive directors should be offered the opportunity to attend scheduled meetings with major shareholders and should expect to attend meetings if requested by major shareholders. The senior independent director should attend sufficient meetings with a range of major shareholders to listen to their views in order to help develop a balanced understanding of the issues and concerns of major shareholders.

In regard to "major shareholders" mutual insurers should consider this provision in relation to views resulting from appropriate methods for facilitating direct member dialogue and involvement that may be in place (such as member

²⁷ Nothing in these principles or provisions should be taken to override the general requirements of law to treat shareholders equally in access to information.

forums or panels and/ or delegate systems) and/ or any members with significant membership rights.

Non-Directive mutual insurers may elect not to apply this provision and to exclude it from their 'comply or explain' reporting.

- E.1.2. The board should state in the annual report the steps they have taken to ensure that the members of the board, and, in particular, the non-executive directors, develop an understanding of the views of major shareholders about the company, for example through direct face-to-face contact, analysts' or brokers' briefings and surveys of shareholder opinion.

Non-Directive mutual insurers may elect not to apply this provision and to exclude it from their 'comply or explain' reporting.

E.2 Constructive Use of General Meetings

Main Principle

The board should use general meetings to communicate with investors and to encourage their participation.

Code Provisions

- E.2.1. At any general meeting, the company should propose a separate resolution on each substantially separate issue, and should, in particular, propose a resolution at general meetings relating to the report and accounts. For each resolution, proxy appointment forms should provide shareholders with the option to direct their proxy to vote either for or against the resolution or to withhold their vote. The proxy form and any announcement of the results of a vote should make it clear that a 'vote withheld' is not a vote in law and will not be counted in the calculation of the proportion of the votes for and against the resolution.
- E.2.2. The company should ensure that all valid proxy appointments received for general meetings are properly recorded and counted. For each resolution, where a vote has been taken on a show of hands, the company should ensure that the following information is given at the meeting and made available as soon as reasonably practicable on a website which is maintained by or on behalf of the company:
- the number of shares in respect of which proxy appointments have been validly made;
 - the number of votes for the resolution;
 - the number of votes against the resolution; and

- the number of shares in respect of which the vote was directed to be withheld.

When, in the opinion of the board, a significant proportion of votes have been cast against a resolution at any general meeting, the company should explain when announcing the results of voting what actions it intends to take to understand the reasons behind the vote result.

- E.2.3. The chairman should arrange for the chairmen of the audit, remuneration and nomination committees to be available to answer questions at general meetings and for all directors to attend.

Non-Directive mutual insurers may elect not to apply this provision and to exclude it from their 'comply or explain' reporting.

- E.2.4. The company should arrange for the Notice of the AGM and related papers to be sent to shareholders at least 20 working days before the meeting. For other general meetings this should be at least 14 working days in advance.

Schedule A: The Design of performance-related remuneration for Executive Directors

For mutual insurers and friendly societies, the remuneration committee should ensure that performance conditions are designed to promote the long-term interests of members.

Balance

The remuneration committee should determine an appropriate balance between fixed and performance-related, immediate and deferred remuneration. Performance conditions, including non-financial metrics where appropriate, should be relevant, stretching and designed to promote the long-term success of the company. Remuneration incentives should be compatible with risk policies and systems. Upper limits should be set and disclosed.

The remuneration committee should consider whether the directors should be eligible for annual bonuses and/or benefits under long-term incentive schemes.

Share-based remuneration

Traditional share option schemes should be weighed against other kinds of long-term incentive scheme. Executive share options should not be offered at a discount save as permitted by the relevant provisions of the Listing Rules.

Any new long-term incentive schemes which are proposed should be approved by shareholders and should preferably replace any existing schemes or, at least, form part of a well-considered overall plan incorporating existing schemes. The total rewards potentially available should not be excessive.

For share-based remuneration the remuneration committee should consider requiring directors to hold a minimum number of shares and to hold shares for a further period after vesting or exercise, including for a period after leaving the company, subject to the need to finance any costs of acquisition and associated tax liabilities. In normal circumstances, shares granted or other forms of deferred remuneration should not vest or be paid, and options should not be exercisable, in less than three years. Longer periods may be appropriate. Grants under executive share option and other long-term incentive schemes should normally be phased rather than awarded in one large block.

Pensions

In general, only basic salary should be pensionable. The remuneration committee should consider the pension consequences and associated costs to the company of basic salary increases and any other changes in pensionable remuneration, especially for directors close to retirement.

Schedule B: Disclosure of Corporate Governance Arrangements

Corporate governance disclosure requirements are set out in three places:

- FSA Disclosure and Transparency Rules sub-chapters 7.1 and 7.2, which set out certain mandatory disclosures;
- FSA Listing Rules 9.8.6 R, 9.8.7 R, and 9.8.7A R, which includes the 'comply or explain' requirement; and
- The UK Corporate Governance Code ("the Code")- in addition to providing an explanation where they choose not to comply with a provision, companies must disclose specified information in order to comply with certain provisions.

These requirements are summarised below, with the full text contained in the relevant chapters of the FCA Handbook.

The DTR sub-chapters 7.1 and 7.2 apply to issuers whose securities are admitted to trading on a regulated market (this includes all issuers with a Premium or Standard listing). The LR 9.8.6 R, 9.8.7 R and 9.8.7A R and the Code apply to issuers of Premium listed equity shares only.

There is some overlap between the mandatory disclosures required under the DTR and those expected under the Code. Areas of overlap are summarised in the Appendix to this Schedule. In respect of disclosures relating to the audit committee and the composition and operation of the board and its committees, compliance with the relevant provisions of the Code will result in compliance with the relevant Rules.

Disclosure and Transparency Rules

DTR Sub-chapter 7.1 concerns audit committees or bodies carrying out equivalent functions.

DTR 7.1.1 R, 7.1.1A R and 7.1.3 R set out requirements relating to the composition and functions of the committee or equivalent body:

- DTR 7.1.1 R states that an issuer must have a body or bodies responsible for performing the functions set out in DTR 7.1.3 R.
- DTR 7.1.1A R requires that a majority of the members of the relevant body must be independent, at least one member must have competence in accounting or auditing, or both, and that members of the relevant body as a whole must have competence relevant to the sector in which the issuer is operating.
- DTR 7.1.2 G states that the requirements for independence and competence in accounting and/or auditing may be satisfied by the same member or by different members of the relevant body.
- DTR 7.1.3 R states that an issuer must ensure that, as a minimum, the relevant body must:

1. monitor the financial reporting process and submit recommendations or proposals to ensure its integrity;
2. monitor the effectiveness of the issuer's internal quality control and risk management systems and, where applicable, its internal audit, regarding the financial reporting of the issuer, without breaching its independence;
3. monitor the statutory audit of the annual and consolidated financial statements, in particular, its performance, taking into account any findings and conclusions by the competent authority under article 26(6) of the Audit Regulation;
4. review and monitor the independence of the statutory auditor, in accordance with articles 22, 22a, 22b, 24a and 24b of the Audit Directive and article 6 of the Audit Regulation, and in particular the appropriateness of the provision of non-audit services to the issuer in accordance with article 5 of the Audit Regulation;
5. inform the administrative or supervisory body of the issuer of the outcome of the statutory audit and explain how the statutory audit contributed to the integrity of financial reporting and what the role of the relevant body was in that process;
6. except when article 16(8) of the Audit Regulation is applied, be responsible for the procedure for the selection of statutory auditor(s) and recommend the statutory auditor(s) to be appointed in accordance with article 16 of the Audit Regulation.

DTR 7.1.5 R sets out what disclosure is required. Specifically:

- DTR 7.1.5 R states that the issuer must make a statement available to the public disclosing which body carries out the functions required by DTR 7.1.3 R and how it is composed.
- DTR 7.1.6 G states that this can be included in the corporate governance statement required under sub-chapter DTR 7.2 (see below).
- DTR 7.1.7 G states that compliance with the relevant provisions of the UK Corporate Governance Code (as set out in the Appendix to this Schedule) will result in compliance with DTR 7.1.1 R to 7.1.5 R.

Sub-chapter 7.2 concerns corporate governance statements. Issuers are required to produce a corporate governance statement that must be either included in the directors' report (DTR 7.2.1 R); or in a separate report published together with the annual report; or on the issuer's website, in which case there must be a cross-reference in the directors' report (DTR 7.2.9 R).

DTR 7.2.2 R requires that the corporate governance statements must contain a reference to the corporate governance code to which the company is subject (for companies with a Premium listing this is the Code). DTR 7.2.3 R requires that, where it departs from that code, the company must explain which parts of the code it departs from and the reasons for doing so. DTR 7.2.4 G states that compliance with LR 9.8.6 R (6) (the "comply or explain" rule in relation to the Code) will also satisfy these requirements.

DTR 7.2.5 R, DTR 7.2.7 R and DTR 7.2.10 R set out certain information that must be disclosed in the corporate governance statement:

- DTR 7.2.5 R states that the corporate governance statement must contain a description of the main features of the company's internal control and risk management systems in relation to the financial reporting process. DTR 7.2.10 R states that an issuer which is required to prepare a group directors' report within the meaning of Section 415(2) of the Companies Act 2006 must include in that report a description of the main features of the group's internal control and risk management systems in relation to the financial reporting process for the undertakings included in the consolidation, taken as a whole.
- DTR 7.2.6 R states that the corporate governance statement must contain the information required by paragraph 13(2)(c), (d), (f), (h) and (i) of Schedule 7 to the Large and Medium-sized Companies and Groups (Accounts and Reports) Regulations 2008 (SI 2008/410) where the issuer is subject to the requirements of that paragraph.
- DTR 7.2.7 R states that the corporate governance statement must contain a description of the composition and operation of the issuer's administrative, management and supervisory bodies and their committees. DTR 7.2.8 G states that compliance with the relevant provisions of the Code (as set out in the Appendix to this Schedule) will satisfy these requirements.

Listing Rules

LR 9.8.6 R (for UK incorporated companies) and 9.8.7 R (for overseas incorporated companies) state that in the case of a company that has a Premium listing of equity shares, the following items must be included in its annual report and accounts:

- a statement of how the listed company has applied the Main Principles set out in the Code, in a manner that would enable shareholders to evaluate how the principles have been applied;
- a statement as to whether the listed company has:
 - complied throughout the accounting period with all relevant provisions set out in the Code; or
 - not complied throughout the accounting period with all relevant provisions set out in the Code, and if so, setting out:
 - i. those provisions, if any, it has not complied with;
 - ii. in the case of provisions whose requirements are of a continuing nature, the period within which, if any, it did not comply with some or all of those provisions; and
 - iii. the company's reasons for non-compliance.

LR 9.8.6 R (3) requires statements by the *directors* on:

- (a) the appropriateness of adopting the going concern basis of accounting (containing the information set out in provision C.1.3 of the Code); and

(b) their assessment of the prospects of the *company* (containing the information set out in provision C.2.2 of the Code);

prepared in accordance with the 'Guidance on Risk Management, Internal Control and Related Financial and Business Reporting' published by the Financial Reporting Council in September 2014

The UK Corporate Governance Code

In addition to the "comply or explain" requirement in the LR, the Code includes specific requirements for disclosure which must be provided in order to comply. These are summarised below.

The annual report should include:

- a statement of how the board operates, including a high level statement of which types of decisions are to be taken by the board and which are to be delegated to management (A.1.1);
- the names of the chairman, the deputy chairman (where there is one), the chief executive, the senior independent director and the chairmen and members of the board committees (A.1.2);
- the number of meetings of the board and those committees and individual attendance by directors (A.1.2);
- where a chief executive is appointed chairman, the reasons for their appointment (this only needs to be done in the annual report following the appointment) (A.3.1);
- the names of the non-executive directors whom the board determines to be independent, with reasons where necessary (B.1.1);
- a separate section describing the work of the nomination committee, including the process it has used in relation to board appointments; a description of the board's policy on diversity, including gender; any measurable objectives that it has set for implementing the policy, and progress on achieving the objectives. An explanation should be given if neither external search consultancy nor open advertising has been used in the appointment of a chairman or a non-executive director. Where an external search consultancy has been used it should be identified and a statement made as to whether it has any other connection with the company (B.2.4);
- the impact of any changes to the other significant commitments of the chairman during the year (B.3.1);
- a statement of how performance evaluation of the board, its committees and its directors has been conducted (B.6.1). Where an external facilitator has been used, they should be identified and a statement made as to whether they have any other connection to the company (B.6.2);

- an explanation from the directors of their responsibility for preparing the accounts and a statement that they consider that the annual report and accounts, taken as a whole, is fair, balanced and understandable and provides the information necessary for shareholders to assess and provide the company's performance, business model and strategy. There should also be a statement by the auditor about their reporting responsibilities (C.1.1);
- an explanation from the directors of the basis on which the company generates or preserves value over the longer term (the business model) and the strategy for delivering the objectives of the company (C.1.2);
- a statement from the directors whether they considered it appropriate to adopt the going concern basis of accounting in preparing them, and identify any material uncertainties to the company's ability to continue to do so over a period of at least twelve months from the date of approval of the financial statements (C.1.3);
- confirmation by the directors that they have carried out a robust assessment of the principal risks facing the company, including those that would threaten its business model, future performance, solvency or liquidity. The directors should describe the risks and explain how they are being managed or mitigated (C.2.1);
- a statement from the directors explaining how they have assessed the prospects of the company (taking account of the company's current position and principal risks), over what period they have done so and why they consider that period to be appropriate. The directors should state whether they have a reasonable expectation that the company will be able to continue in operation and meet its liabilities as they fall due over the period of their assessment, drawing attention to any qualifications or assumptions as necessary (C.2.2);
- a report on the board's review of the effectiveness of the company's risk management and internal controls systems (C.2.3);
- where there is no internal audit function, the reasons for the absence of such a function (C.3.6);
- where the board does not accept the audit committee's recommendation on the appointment, reappointment or removal of an external auditor, a statement from the audit committee explaining the recommendation and the reasons why the board has taken a different position (C.3.7);
- a separate section describing the work of the audit committee in discharging its responsibilities, including: the significant issues that it considered in relation to the financial statements, and how these issues were addressed; an explanation of how it has assessed the effectiveness of the external audit process and the approach taken to the appointment or reappointment of the external auditor, including the length of tenure of the current audit firm and when a tender was last conducted; and, if the external auditor provides non-audit services, an explanation of how auditor objectivity and independence is safeguarded (C.3.8);
- a description of the work of the remuneration committee as required under

the Large and Medium-Sized Companies and Groups (Accounts and Reports) Regulations 2008, including, where an executive director serves as a non-executive director elsewhere, whether or not the director will retain such earnings and, if so, what the remuneration is (D.1.2);

- where remuneration consultants are appointed they should be identified and a statement made as to whether they have any other connection with the company (D.2.1); and
- the steps the board has taken to ensure that members of the board, and in particular the non-executive directors, develop an understanding of the views of major shareholders about their company (E.1.2).

The following information should be made available (which may be met by placing the information on a website that is maintained by or on behalf of the company):

- the terms of reference of the nomination, audit and remuneration committees, explaining their role and the authority delegated to them by the board (B.2.1, C.3.3 and D.2.1); and
- the terms and conditions of appointment of non-executive directors (B.3.2) (see footnote 9).

The board should set out to shareholders in the papers accompanying a resolution to elect or re-elect directors:

- sufficient biographical details to enable shareholders to take an informed decision on their election or re-election (B.7.1);
- why they believe an individual should be elected to a non-executive role (B.7.2); and
- on re-election of a non-executive director, confirmation from the chairman that, following formal performance evaluation, the individual's performance continues to be effective and to demonstrate commitment to the role (B.7.2).

The board should set out to shareholders in the papers recommending appointment or reappointment of an external auditor:

- if the board does not accept the audit committee's recommendation, a statement from the audit committee explaining the recommendation and from the board setting out reasons why they have taken a different position (C.3.6).

Additional guidance

The FRC publishes guidance on the strategic report, risk management, internal control, business and financial reporting and audit committees, which relate to Section C of the Code. These guidance notes are available on the FRC website.

Annex

Overlap between the Disclosure and Transparency Rules and the UK Corporate Governance Code

Disclosure and Transparency Rules	UK Corporate Governance Code
<p>DTR 7.1.1 R and 7.1.1A R</p> <p>Sets out minimum requirements on composition of the audit committee or equivalent body.</p>	<p>Provision C.3.1: sets out the recommended composition of the audit committee.</p>
<p>DTR 7.1.3 R</p> <p>Sets out minimum functions of the audit committee or equivalent body.</p>	<p>Provision C.3.2: sets out the recommended minimum terms of reference for the audit committee.</p>
<p>DTR 7.1.5 R</p> <p>The composition and function of the audit committee or equivalent body/ bodies must be disclosed in the annual report.</p> <p><i>DTR 7.1.7 G states that compliance with Code provisions A.1.2, C.3.1, C.3.2, C.3.3. and C.3.8 will result in compliance with DTR 7.1.1 R to DTR 7.1.5 R.</i></p>	<p>This requirement overlaps with a number of different Code provisions:</p> <p>A.1.2: the annual report should identify members of the board and board committees.</p> <p>C.3.1: sets out the recommended composition of the audit committee.</p> <p>C.3.2: sets out the recommended minimum terms of reference for the audit committee.</p> <p>C.3.3: the terms of reference of the audit committee, including its role and the authority delegated to it by the board, should be made available.</p> <p>C.3.8: the annual report should describe the work of the audit committee.</p>
<p>DTR 7.2.5 R</p> <p>The corporate governance statement must contain a description of the main features of the issuer's internal control and risk management systems in relation to the financial reporting process.</p> <p><i>While this requirement differs from the requirement in the Code, it is envisaged that both could be met by a single internal control statement.</i></p>	<p>Provision C.2.1: the directors should confirm that they have carried out a robust assessment of the principal risks facing the company – including those that would threaten its business model, future performance, solvency or liquidity. The directors should describe those risks and explain how they are being managed or mitigated.</p> <p>Provision C.2.3: the board should monitor the company's risk management and internal control systems and, at least annually, carry out a review of their effectiveness, and report on that review in the annual report. The monitoring and review should cover all material controls, including financial, operational and compliance controls.</p>

Disclosure and Transparency Rules	UK Corporate Governance Code
<p>DTR 7.2.7 R</p> <p>The corporate governance statement must contain a description of the composition and operation of the issuer's administrative, management and supervisory bodies and their committees.</p> <p><i>DTR 7.2.8 R states that compliance with Code provisions A.1.1, A.1.2, B.2.4, C.3.3, C.3.8 and D.2.1 will result in compliance with DTR 7.2.7 R.</i></p>	<p>This requirement overlaps with a number of different Code provisions:</p> <p>A.1.1: the annual report should include a statement of how the board operates.</p> <p>A.1.2: the annual report should identify members of the board and board committees.</p> <p>B.2.4: the annual report should describe the work of the nomination committee.</p> <p>C.3.3: the terms of reference of the audit committee, including its role and the authority delegated to it by the board, should be made available.</p> <p>C.3.8: the annual report should describe the work of the audit committee.</p> <p>D.2.1: a description of the work of the remuneration committee should be made available. <i>[Note: in order to comply with DTR 7.2.7 R this information will need to be included in the corporate governance statement]</i></p>